

Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 USC 106(g), 40101, 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13—[Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**95-18-09 Fokker:** Amendment 39-9356. Docket 94-NM-232-AD.

**Applicability:** Model F28 Mark 0100 series airplanes; having serial numbers 11244 through 11319 inclusive, 11321, and 11323 through 11332 inclusive; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) of this AD to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue-related cracking in the rear spar-to-fuselage attachment, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the wing, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 24,000 total flight cycles or within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, modify the rear spar-to-fuselage attachment, in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-53-039, dated February 10, 1993.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be

used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) The modification shall be done in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-53-039, dated February 10, 1993. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Fokker Aircraft USA, Inc., 1199 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on October 16, 1995.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 29, 1995.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-21954 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 91-CE-21-AD; Amendment 39-9358; AD 95-18-11]

### Airworthiness Directives; de Havilland DHC-6 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes Airworthiness Directive (AD) 73-05-03, which currently requires repetitively inspecting the rear spar cap for cracks on certain de Havilland DHC-6 series airplanes, and replacing any cracked rear spar cap. The Federal Aviation Administration's policy on aging commuter-class aircraft is to eliminate or, in certain instances, reduce the number of certain repetitive short-interval inspections when improved parts or modifications are available. This action requires modifying the wing rear spar support (Modification No. 6/1301) as terminating action for the

currently required repetitive inspections. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent cracking of the top flange of the wing spar attachment caps, which, if not detected and corrected, could result in loss of control of the airplane.

**DATES:** Effective October 26, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 26, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from de Havilland, Inc., 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario, Canada, M3K 1Y5. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket 91-CE-21-AD, room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jon Hjelm, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, 3rd Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256-7523; facsimile (516) 568-2716.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain de Havilland DHC-6 series airplanes without Modification No. 6/1301 incorporated was published in the **Federal Register** on October 31, 1994 (59 FR 54415). The action proposed to supersede AD 73-05-03 with a new AD that would (1) initially retain the requirement of repetitively inspecting the wing rear spar cap for cracks and replacing any cracked part; and (2) eventually require installing wing rear spar attachment caps that are manufactured from a material having improved stress corrosion resistant properties (Modification 6/1301) as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. Accomplishment of the proposed actions would be in accordance with de Havilland Service Bulletin No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above including the referenced service information, the FAA

has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

The FAA estimates that 82 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 22 workhours per airplane to accomplish the required modification, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts cost approximately \$6,350 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$628,940. This figure is based upon the assumption that no affected airplane owner/operator has incorporated Modification 6/1301.

The intent of the FAA's aging commuter airplane program is to ensure safe operation of commuter-class airplanes that are in commercial service without adversely impacting private operators. Of the approximately 82 airplanes in the U.S. registry that will be affected by this AD, the FAA has determined that approximately 45 percent are operated in scheduled passenger service. A significant number of the remaining 55 percent are operated in other forms of air transportation such as air cargo and air taxi.

The following paragraphs present cost scenarios for airplanes where no cracks were found and where certain category cracks were found during the inspections, and where the remaining airplane life is 15 years with an average annual utilization rate of 1,600 hours time-in-service (TIS). A copy of the full Cost Analysis and Regulatory Flexibility Determination for the required action may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 91-CE-21-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri.

- **No Cracks Scenario:** Under the provisions of AD 73-05-03, an owner/operator of an affected de Havilland DHC-6 series airplane in scheduled service who operates an average of 1,600 hours TIS annually will inspect every 26 weeks. This amounts to a remaining airplane life (estimated 15 years) cost of \$14,058; this figure is based on the assumption that no cracks are found during the inspections. This AD will incur the inspection at one 1,200-hour TIS interval and then, at 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of the AD, the operator has to replace the top flange of the wing spar attachment caps (eliminating the need for further

repetitive inspections). This results in a present value cost of \$8,331, which is a present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 of \$5,727 or \$4,154 annualized over the 1.5 years it will take to accumulate 2,400 hours TIS. An owner of a general aviation airplane who operates 800 hours TIS annually without finding any cracks during the 1,200-hour TIS inspection will incur a present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 of \$6,430. This amounts to a per year savings of \$2,450 over the 1.5 years it takes to accumulate 2,400 hours TIS.

- **Category I cracks found scenario:** These are spanwise cracks that are inboard of the third rivet, or spanwise cracks that exceed 50 inches, or any chordwise cracks. Under the provisions of AD 73-05-03, an owner/operator who finds cracks during an inspection under this scenario has to immediately repair the cracked part and repetitively inspect every 26 weeks. This AD will require immediate replacement as terminating action for the repetitive inspections, which results in present value compliance costs of \$8,355. The present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 for this scenario is \$6,300 for airplanes in scheduled service and \$6,295 per general aviation airplane.

- **Category II cracks found scenario:** These are spanwise cracks that are outboard of the 10th rivet and within the limits of paragraph (b) of the service bulletin. Under the provisions of AD 73-05-03, an owner/operator who finds cracks during an inspection under this scenario has to repetitively inspect every 13 weeks. This results in present value compliance costs of \$27,625. This AD would require repetitive inspections every 600 hours TIS until replacement of the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps at 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of the AD as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This results in present value compliance costs of \$9,700. Immediate replacement of the top flange is more economical than repetitively inspecting; present value costs are \$8,355. The present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 for this scenario is \$19,272 per airplane in scheduled service and \$19,269 per general aviation airplane.

- **Category III cracks found scenario:** These are spanwise cracks that are outboard of the 10th rivet and within the limits of paragraph (c) of the service bulletin. Under the provisions of AD 73-05-03, an owner/operator who finds cracks during an inspection in this scenario has to repetitively inspect every 2 weeks. This results in present

value compliance costs of \$175,000. This AD requires repetitive inspections every 100 hours TIS until replacement of the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps at 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of the AD as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This results in present value compliance costs of \$13,965. Immediate replacement of the top flange is more economical than repetitively inspecting; present value costs are \$8,355. The present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 for this scenario is \$166,075 per airplane in scheduled service and \$166,784 per general aviation airplane.

- **Category IV cracks found scenario:** These are spanwise cracks that are outboard of the 10th rivet and exceed the limits of paragraph (b) or (c) of the service bulletin. Also included are cracks in the splice plates of the vertical and horizontal legs of the rear spar or elongated rivet holes. Under the provisions of AD 73-05-03, an owner/operator who finds cracks during an inspection under this scenario has to immediately repair any crack and then repetitively inspect every 26 weeks. This results in present value costs of \$14,500. This AD requires immediate crack repair, then an inspection after accumulating 1,200 hours TIS, and replacement of the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps at 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of the AD as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This results in present value compliance costs of \$8,929. Immediate replacement of the top flange is more economical than repetitively inspecting; present value costs are \$8,355. The present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 for this scenario is \$6,040 per airplane in scheduled service and \$6,430 per general aviation airplane.

- **Category V cracks found scenario:** These are spanwise cracks that are between the third and tenth rivet. Under the provisions of AD 73-05-03, an owner/operator who finds cracks during an inspection under this scenario has to immediately repair any crack, repetitively inspect every 2 weeks, replace the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps, and repetitively inspect thereafter every 26 weeks. This results in present value compliance costs of about \$30,000. This AD requires immediate crack repair, repetitive inspections every 50 hours TIS, and replacement of the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps at 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of the AD as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This results in present value compliance costs of

\$38,988. Immediate replacement of the top flange is more economical than repetitively inspecting; present value costs are \$8,355. The present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 for this scenario are \$19,356 per airplane in scheduled service and \$26,367 per general aviation airplane.

- Category VI cracks found scenario: These are spanwise cracks that have a total length exceeding 30 inches but not exceeding 50 inches. Under the provisions of AD 73-05-03, an owner/operator who finds cracks during an inspection under this scenario has to immediately repair any crack, replace the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps at 26 weeks, and repetitively inspect thereafter every 26 weeks. This results in present value compliance costs of about \$21,200. This AD requires immediate crack repair, repetitive inspections every 600 hours TIS, and replacement of the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps at 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of the AD as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This results in present value compliance costs of \$10,289. Immediate replacement of the top flange is more economical than repetitively inspecting; present value costs are \$8,355. The present value cost savings over that required in AD 73-05-03 for this scenario is \$12,707 per airplane in scheduled service and \$13,028 per general aviation airplane.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA) was enacted by Congress to ensure that small entities are not unnecessarily or disproportionately burdened by government regulations. The RFA requires government agencies to determine whether rules could have a "significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities," and, in cases where they could, conduct a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis in which alternatives to the rule are considered. FAA Order 2100.14A, Regulatory Flexibility Criteria and Guidance, outlines FAA procedures and criteria for complying with the RFA. Small entities are defined as small businesses and small not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated or airports operated by small governmental jurisdictions. A "substantial number" is defined as a number that is not less than 11 and that is more than one-third of the small entities subject to a rule, or any number of small entities judged to be substantial by the rulemaking official. A "significant economic impact" is defined by an annualized net compliance cost, adjusted for inflation, which is greater than a threshold cost level for defined entity types. FAA

Order 2100.14A sets the size threshold for small entities operating aircraft for hire at 9 aircraft owned and the annualized cost thresholds, adjusted to 1994 dollars, at \$69,000 for scheduled operators and \$5,000 for unscheduled operators.

Of the 82 U.S.-registered airplanes affected by this AD, three airplanes are owned by the federal government. Of the other 79, one business owns 24 airplanes, one business owns 7 airplanes, one business owns 6 airplanes, one business owns 3 airplanes, 6 businesses own 2 airplanes each, and twenty-seven businesses own 1 airplane each.

As presented in the crack scenario discussion, replacing the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps immediately or within 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD is more economical in all scenarios than continuing to repetitively inspect the part for the life of the airplane. Therefore, this AD will not have a "significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities."

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the

Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40101, 40113, 44701.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 73-05-03, Amendment 39-1658, and adding a new AD to read as follows:

**95-18-11 De Havilland:** Amendment 39-9358; Docket No. 91-CE-21-AD.

Supersedes AD 73-05-03, Amendment 39-1658.

**Applicability:** Models DHC-6-1, DHC-6-100, DHC-6-200, and DHC-6-300 airplanes (serial numbers 1 to 330), certificated in any category, that have not incorporated Modification 6/1301 in accordance with the instructions in Part C of de Havilland Service Bulletin (SB) 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability revision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent cracking of the top flange of the wing rear spar attachment caps, which, if not detected and corrected, could result in loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, inspect both wing rear spar attachment caps, part number (P/N) C6WM1032, for cracks in accordance with paragraph A of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991. The exposure time of Inspection Method A.1 (Radiographic) in this service bulletin shall be 120 seconds instead of 60 seconds for the inboard X-ray tube location, and the X-ray beam angle shall be decreased from 10 degrees to 5 degrees for all X-ray tube locations.

(1) If cracking is not detected, reinspect each cap every 1,200 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(2) If spanwise cracking is detected outboard of the 10th rivet, accomplish the following:

(i) For cracks that have the following (the criteria of paragraph (c) in the Compliance section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991):

First to tenth rivet.	No cracks.
11th to 29th rivet.	One cracked pitch (the distance between adjacent rivet holes) in ten pitches with four uncracked pitches minimum between cracks.
30th to 69th rivet.	Two cracked pitches in ten pitches with four uncracked pitches minimum between cracks.
70th to 74th (end).	One cracked pitch.

Repeat the inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 100 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(ii) For cracks found outboard of the 10th rivet that run only between two adjacent rivets provided not more than four such cracks exist in an attachment cap and a minimum of two rivet pitch lengths of uncracked material separate cracks (the criteria of paragraph (b) in the Compliance section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295), repeat the inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 600 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(iii) For cracks that meet or exceed the criteria of paragraphs (b) or (c) in the Compliance section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, prior to further flight, reinforce the spar cap in accordance with paragraph B of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, and repeat the inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 1,200 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(3) If spanwise cracking is detected inboard of the third rivet, or if a chordwise crack is detected, or if the total length of cracks on a cap exceeds 50 inches, prior to further flight, replace the spar cap with a Modification 6/1301 cap in accordance with paragraph C of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991.

(4) If spanwise cracking is detected between the third and tenth rivet, prior to further flight, reinforce the spar cap in accordance with paragraph B of the Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, and repeat the inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD inboard of the reinforced attachment caps at intervals not to exceed 50 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(5) If cracking exceeds a total length of 30 inches but does not exceed 50 inches, prior to further flight, reinforce the spar cap in accordance with paragraph B of the

Accomplishment Instructions section of de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, and repeat the inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 600 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(b) Within 100 hours after the effective date of this AD and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,200 hours TIS until a Modification 6/1301 spar cap is installed as required by paragraph (c) of this AD, inspect the splice plates of the vertical and horizontal legs of the rear spar fitting at Wing Stations 87 to 91 for cracks or elongated rivet holes. Prior to further flight, replace any part that is cracked or has elongated rivet holes with a serviceable part.

(c) Within 2,400 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, replace both wing rear spar caps with a Modification 6/1301 spar cap in accordance with paragraph C of the Accomplishment Instructions in de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, unless already accomplished.

(d) Incorporating Modification 6/1301 on both wing rear spar caps in accordance with paragraph C of the Accomplishment Instructions in de Havilland SB No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991, is considered terminating action for the inspection requirements of this AD.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, 10 Fifth Street, 3rd Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

(g) The inspections and modification required by this AD shall be done in accordance with de Havilland Service Bulletin No. 6/295, Revision D, dated December 20, 1991. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from de Havilland, Inc., 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5 Canada. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., 7th Floor, suite 700, Washington, DC.

(h) This amendment (39-9358) supersedes AD 73-05-03, Amendment 39-1658.

(i) This amendment (39-9358) becomes effective on October 26, 1995.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on August 28, 1995.

**Henry A. Armstrong,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-21957 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-CE-36-AD; Amendment 39-9360; AD 95-18-13]

### Airworthiness Directives; HOAC AUSTRIA GmbH HK 36R "Super Dimona" Gliders

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain HOAC AUSTRIA GmbH (HOAC) HK 36R "Super Dimona" gliders. This action requires inspecting the exhaust system for corrosion, replacing the exhaust system if corrosion is found, and installing a carbon monoxide detector. Reports received by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) of severe exhaust system corrosion on the affected gliders, including one of excessive corrosion (rusting through), prompted this action. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent carbon monoxide leakage caused by a corroded exhaust system, which, if not detected and corrected, could lead to passenger injuries.

**DATES:** Effective October 26, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 26, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from HOAC AUSTRIA GmbH, N.A. Otto Strasse 5, A-2700 Wiener Neustadt, Austria. This information may also be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket 94-CE-36-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Herman C. Belderok, Project Officer, Gliders, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 426-6932; facsimile (816) 426-2169.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal